

June 8, 2021

BY ELECTRONIC FILING

Hon. Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: *Young v. Hawaii*, No. 20-1639

Dear Mr. Harris:

I represent respondent in the above-referenced petition for certiorari. A response is currently due on June 24, 2021. Respondent respectfully requests that the time to file a response be extended by 30 days, to and including Monday, July 26, 2021.

The extension is warranted because the undersigned counsel, Neal Katyal, has upcoming deadlines in several other matters that will require his attention over the coming weeks, including a reply brief in support of an injunction in *In re Citibank August 11 Wire Transfers*, No. 21-487 (2d Cir.), due June 8; a reply brief in support of certiorari in *Kelley v. United States*, No. 20-7474 (U.S.), due June 9; a meeting with the Solicitor General's office regarding this Court's call for the view of the Solicitor General in *Independent School District No. 283 v. E.M.D.H. ex rel L.H.*, No. 20-905 (U.S.) on June 11; a response to a motion for a new trial in *State v. Chauvin* (D. Minn.), due June 16; an en banc oral argument in *City of Oakland v. Wells Fargo & Co.*, No. 19-15169 (9th Cir.) on June 23; a petition for a writ of certiorari in *Ezaki Glico Kabushiki Kaisha v. Lotte International America Corp.*, No. 19-3010 (3d Cir.), due June 25; a reply brief in support of certiorari in *Omweaga v. Garland*, No. 20-1395 (U.S.), due July 28; and a petition for a writ of certiorari in *United States v. Rodriguez-Rivera*, No. 19-1529 (1st Cir.), due August 2. Given these commitments, an extension of time is warranted to permit Mr. Katyal to prepare a response that fully analyzes and responds to the arguments raised in the petition for certiorari.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Neal Kumar Katyal
Neal Kumar Katyal

Counsel of Record for Respondent

cc: Alan Alexander Beck